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#### Abstract

A relation between univariate trigonometric and polynomial $B$-splines is extended to higher dimensions and used to construct a class of multivariate trigonometric $B$-splines from the multivariate polynomial ones. These new functions are trigonometric splines in each variable, but they are not tensor product splines. They are smooth and have local non-polygonal support. They possess a recurrence relation similar to that of the multivariate polynomial $B$-splines. 1988 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

In the next section we find a relation between univariate trigonometric and polynomial $B$-splines. In Section 3 we extend this relation to higher dimensions and use it to construct a class of multivariate trigonometric $B$-splines from the multivariate polynomial ones. Some further generalizations are given in Section 4.

Let us first recall some properties of univariate and multivariate polynomial $B$-splines.

Denote the (unnormalized) univariate polynomial $B$-spline corresponding to the knots $x^{0} \leqslant x^{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant x^{n}$ by $Q\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$. By de Boor [1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=\frac{\left(x-x^{0}\right) Q\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)+\left(x^{n}-x\right) Q\left(x \mid x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)}{x^{n}-x^{0}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=1$,

$$
Q\left(x \mid x^{0}, x^{1}\right)= \begin{cases}1 /\left(x^{1}-x^{0}\right), & x^{0} \leqslant x<x^{1}  \tag{2}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The $B$-spline $Q\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ is positive on $\left(x^{0}, x^{n}\right)$ and zero on $\left(-\infty, x^{0}\right) \cup$ $\left[x^{n}, \infty\right)$. On each subinterval ( $x^{i}, x^{i+1}$ ) it is an element of $\pi_{n-1}$ (the space of polynomials of degree $\leqslant n-1$ ).

We need some notation for the multivariate case. For any set $A \subset R^{n}$ let [A] and $\operatorname{vol}_{n} A$ denote the closed convex hull and the $n$-dimensional volume of $A$, respectively. Let $S^{n}=\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \mid \sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{j}=1, v_{j} \geqslant 0$, $j=0, \ldots, n\}$ be the standard $n$-dimensional simplex. Let $\pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ be the space of polynomials of total degree $\leqslant n$ in $s$ variables.

We can now define the multivariate polynomial $B$-spline introduced in de Boor [2]. Let $n>s \geqslant 1$ and let $x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}$ be any points in $R^{s}$ such that vol, $\left[x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right]>0$. Let $\tilde{x}^{0}, \ldots, \tilde{x}^{n}$ be arbitrary vectors in $R^{n-s}$ for which the denominator in (3) is positive. The multivariate polynomial $B$-spline, $M\left(\cdot \mid x^{0} \ldots, x^{n}\right)$. corresponding to the knots $x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-s}\left\{\tilde{x} \in R^{n-s} \mid(x, \tilde{x}) \in\left[\left(x^{0}, \tilde{x}^{0}\right), \ldots,\left(x^{n}, \tilde{x}^{n}\right)\right]\right\}}{\operatorname{vol}_{n}\left[\left(x^{0}, \tilde{x}^{0}\right), \ldots,\left(x^{n}, \tilde{x}^{n}\right)\right]} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have chosen the normalization of Micchelli [8] (cf. de Boor [2], Micchelli [7]). This $B$-spline is a member of $\pi_{n-,}^{s}$ in each region bounded by, but not cut by the convex hull of any subsets of $s$ points from $\left\{x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$. If every subset of $d$ points of $\left\{x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$ forms a convex set of positive volume then $M\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in C^{n-d}\left(R^{s}\right)$. So usually, $M\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in C^{n-s-1}\left(R^{s}\right)$. The support of this function is equal to $\left[x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right]$. If we define $\operatorname{vol}_{0} \varnothing=0$ and $\operatorname{vol}_{0} A=1$ when $A \neq \varnothing$, then we can use (3) to define the multivariate $B$-spline even in the case $n=s$.

Micchelli [7] discovered a recurrence relation for the evaluation of ( 3 ). Suppose that $n>s$ and that $\operatorname{vol}_{s}\left[x^{0}, \ldots, x^{j-1}, x^{j+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right]>0, j=0 \ldots, n$. Let $x=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j} x^{j}$, where $\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ satisfy $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j}=1$. If $M\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ and $M\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{j-1}, x^{j+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), j=0, \ldots, n$, are continuous at $x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=\frac{n}{n-s} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j} M\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{j-1}, x^{j+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

An analog recurrence relation is given for the multivariate trigonometric $B$-splines in Section 3.

To derive (4), Micchelli [7] used the following characterization of the multivariate $B$-spline.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}} M\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) f(x) d x=n!\int_{S^{n}} f\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{j} x^{j}\right) d v_{1} \cdots d v_{n}, \quad \forall f \in C\left(R^{s}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 3 a formula resembling this is given for the trigonometric case.

## 2. A Connection Between Univariate Trigonometric and Polynomial $B$-Splines

Lyche and Winther [6] defined univariate trigonometric $B$-splines of arbitrary orders and showed that they possess the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\sin \left(x-x^{0}\right) / 2 T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)+\sin \left(x^{n}-x\right) / 2 T\left(x \mid x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)}{\sin \left(x^{n}-x^{0}\right) / 2} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ is the trigonometric $B$-spline corresponding to the knots $x^{0} \leqslant x^{i} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant x^{n}$, where we suppose that $x^{n}-x^{0}<2 \pi$. For $n=1$,

$$
T\left(x \mid x^{0}, x^{1}\right)= \begin{cases}1 / \sin \frac{x^{1}-x^{0}}{2}, & x^{0} \leqslant x<x^{1}  \tag{7}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ is positive on ( $x^{0}, x^{n}$ ) and is zero outside of $\left[x^{0}, x^{n}\right)$. On each subinterval $\left(x^{i}, x^{i+1}\right)$ it is an element of $\tau_{n-1}$, where
$\tau_{r}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{span}\{1, \sin x, \cos x, \ldots, \sin m x, \cos m x\}, & r=2 m \\ \operatorname{span}\left\{\cos \frac{x}{2}, \sin \frac{x}{2}, \ldots, \cos \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) x, \sin \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) x\right\}, & r=2 m+1\end{cases}$
(cf. Lyche and Winther [6]).
Another basis for $\tau_{r}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{r-i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \cdot \sin ^{i}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad i=0, \ldots, r . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following transformation will be important to us.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma_{r} f\right)(x)=\cos ^{r}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \cdot f\left(\tan \frac{x}{2}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\cos ^{r-i}(x / 2) \sin ^{i}(x / 2)=\cos ^{r}(x / 2) \tan ^{i}(x / 2), i=0, \ldots, r$, we see that $\gamma_{r}$ maps $\pi_{r}$ onto $\tau_{r}$. More accurately, if $p \in \pi_{r}$ on $[\tan (\alpha / 2), \tan (\beta / 2)$, then $\gamma_{r} p \in \tau_{r}$ on $[\alpha, \beta$ ), when $-\pi<\alpha<\beta<\pi$. The transformation (10) preserves smoothness, so $\gamma_{n-1} Q\left(\cdot \mid \tan \left(x^{0} / 2\right), \ldots, \tan \left(x^{n} / 2\right)\right)$ should be a trigonometric spline. The following lemma tells us that it is proportional to the trigonometric $B$-spline $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$.

Lemma. Let $n \in N$ and suppose that $-\pi<x^{0} \leqslant x^{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant x^{n}<\pi$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\cos ^{n-1}(x / 2)}{\prod_{j=0}^{n} \cos \left(x^{j} / 2\right)} Q\left(\tan \frac{x}{2} \left\lvert\, \tan \frac{x^{0}}{2}\right., \ldots, \tan \frac{x^{n}}{2}\right), \quad x \in(-\pi, \pi) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$. The formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan A-\tan B=\sin (A-B) /(\cos A \cdot \cos B) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be useful. For $n=1$, the definitions (2), (7), (10) combined with (12) give (11). Suppose that (11) holds for $n=m$. Let $n=m+1$. If $x^{0}=x^{n}$ then both sides of (11) are identically zero. Suppose $x^{0}<x^{n}$. By (1), (10), (12), and the induction hypothesis, the right side of (11) equals the right side of (6). Hence (11) holds for $n=m+1$.

By the lemma, we may use the more efficient recurrence relation (11) to evaluate $T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$, instead of using (6).

## 3. Multivariate Trigonometric $B$-Splines

In this section we will construct smooth functions of local support which are multivariate trigonometric polynomials in each subdomain. But first we must specify what we mean by a multivariate trigonometric polynomial.

Definition 1. Let $s, n \in N$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& t(x)=\left(\tan \frac{x_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \tan \frac{x_{s}}{2}\right)^{T}  \tag{13}\\
& c(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{s} \cos \frac{x_{j}}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}^{s}=\left\{c^{n} \cdot(p \circ t) \mid p \in \pi_{n}^{s}\right\}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \circ t$ is the composite function of $p$ and $t$.
By Definition 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}^{s}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left.\prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(\cos ^{n-x_{j}}\left(\frac{x_{j}}{2}\right) \cdot \sin ^{x_{j}}\left(\frac{x_{j}}{2}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{j} \leqslant n, \alpha_{j} \geqslant 0, j=1, \ldots, s\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have replaced $x_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}$ by the $\alpha_{j}$ th element in the sequence (9) of basis functions for $\tau_{n}$. Particularly, $\tau_{n}^{1}=\tau_{n}$. For $s \geqslant 1, \tau_{n}^{s}$ is contained in the tensor product of $s$ copies of $\tau_{n}$. By (14) we see that $\tau_{n}^{s}$ and $\pi_{n}^{s}$ have the same dimension. Thus even if $\tau_{n}^{s}$ is a space of trigonometric functions, it possesses properties similar to those of $\pi_{n}^{s}$.

Let us now introduce the multivariate trigonometric $B$-splines.
Definition 2. Let $n \geqslant s$ and let $x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n} \in(-\pi, \pi)^{s}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{vol}_{s}\left[t\left(x^{0}\right), \ldots, t\left(x^{n}\right)\right]>0$. Define

$$
T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{[c(x)]^{n-s}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n} c\left(x^{j}\right)} \cdot M\left(t(x) \mid t\left(x^{0}\right), \ldots, t\left(x^{n}\right)\right), & x \in(-\pi, \pi)^{s}  \tag{16}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $c, t$ are given by Definition 1 and $M\left(\cdot \mid t\left(x^{0}\right), \ldots, t\left(x^{n}\right)\right)$ by (3).
By the lemma, (16) generalizes trigonometric $B$-splines.
The main result is the following:
Theorem. Let $n \geqslant s$ and let $x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n} \in(-\pi, \pi)^{s}$. Set $y^{i}=t\left(x^{i}\right)$, $i=0, \ldots, n$. Suppose that $\operatorname{vol}_{s}\left[y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right]>0$. If every subset of $d$ points of $\left\{y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right\}$ forms a convex set of positive volume then $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in$ $C^{n-d}\left(R^{s}\right)$. For each region $D$ bounded by, but not cut by the convex hull of any subsets of $s$ points from $\left\{y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right\}, T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)_{i^{-1}(D)} \in \tau_{n-s}^{s}$. Outside $t^{-1}\left[y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right], T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ is identically zero. Suppose that $n>s+1$ and that $\operatorname{vol}_{s}\left[y^{0}, \ldots, y^{i-1}, y^{i+1}, \ldots, y^{n}\right]>0, i=0, \ldots, n$. Let $\varphi(x)=c(x) t(x)$, $x \in(-\pi, \pi)^{s}$. If the real numbers $\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \tau_{i} \varphi\left(x^{i}\right)=\varphi(x), \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tau_{i} c\left(x^{i}\right)=c(x) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ and $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{i-1}, x^{i+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), i=0, \ldots, n$, are continuous at $x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=\frac{n}{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tau_{i} T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{i-1}, x^{i+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The idea behind the proof is to transform properties of $M\left(\cdot \mid y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right)$ into properties for $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ via Definition 2. The statement about the smoothness of $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ follows from what we know about the smoothness of $M\left(\cdot \mid y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right)$. Also, $M\left(\cdot \mid y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right)_{\mid D} \in \pi_{n-s}^{s}$, when $D$ is one of those regions mentioned
in the theorem. By Definitions 1 and 2, $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)_{\mid-t^{-}\left(q_{1}\right)} \in \tau_{n-s}^{s}$. Since $M\left(\cdot \mid y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right)=0 \quad$ outside $\quad\left[y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right], \quad T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=0 \quad$ outside $t^{-1}\left[y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}\right]$. Let $\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}$ satisfy (17). Set $\lambda_{i}=\tau_{i} c\left(x^{i}\right) / c(x), i=0, \ldots, n$. By (17), $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_{i}=1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_{i} y^{t}=t(x)$. Hence (4) is fulfilled when $x^{t}$ is replaced by $y^{\prime}$ and $x$ is replaced by $t(x)$. Multiplying both sides of (4) by $c(x)^{n-s} \prod_{i=0}^{\prime \prime} c\left(x^{j}\right)$ and using Definition 2 we obtain (18).

If the vectors $x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}$ are in general position, then by the theorem. $d=s+1$, so $T\left(\cdot \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in C^{n-s-1}\left(R^{s}\right)$.

By setting $n-s$ of $\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}$ equal to zero we may solve (17) uniquely for the rest of $\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}$. As a function of $x$ these $\tau_{j}$ 's become elements of $\tau_{1}$.

Using Definition 2 the characterization (5) transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{\cdot}} T\left(x \mid x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) f(x) d x=\int_{R^{n}} \omega(v) f(X v) d v_{1} \cdots d v_{n}, \quad \forall f \in C\left(R^{s}\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega(v) & =\frac{2^{j} \cdot n!}{\prod_{j=0}^{n} c\left(x^{j}\right)} \cdot c\left(t^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=3}^{n} v_{i} t\left(x^{i}\right)\right)\right)^{n-s+2} \cdot \chi_{s^{n}}(v),  \tag{20}\\
X v & =t^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} v_{i} t\left(x^{i}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and where $\chi_{s^{n}}$ is the characteristic function on $S^{n}$. Dahmen and Micchelli [5, Eq. (2.1.4)] consider functions $M$ satisfying (19) for different choices of $\omega$. They always assume that $\chi$ is an affine function. This is one of the main differences.

In the same manner other properties of the multivariate polynomial $B$-splines may be transformed into properties for the multivariate trigonometric $B$-splines.

## 4. Extensions

The conclusions of the theorem hold for more general classes of muitivariate $B$-splines. In fact, since we did not use (13) explicitly in the proof of the theorem, we may use any sufficiently smooth mappings $t$ and $c$ in (14) defined on a common domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $R^{s}$ such that $t$ is injective and $c$ is positive on that domain. We keep (14) as it is and only change $(-\pi, \pi)^{0}$ into $\mathscr{T}$ in (16). The conclusions of the theorem are still true. As an exampie, we could use $t(x)=\left(\tanh x_{1}, \ldots, \quad \tanh x_{s}\right)^{T}, \quad c(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{s} \cosh x_{y}$, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)^{T} \in \mathscr{Z}=R^{s}$, and obtain a multivariate hyperbolic $B$-spline (cf. Schumaker [9] for the univariate case). More generally, we could mix
cases and use one function class for one variable and a different one for another variable.

Another generalization would be to use, for instance, the box spline in (16) instead of the multivariate polynomial $B$-spline (see de Boor and DeVore [3], de Boor and Höllig [4]). This would yield a theorem similar to that in Section 3.
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